

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/703,723	11/02/2000	Srithar Ramesh	219.39043X00	2135	
20457 7	590 01/08/2002				
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER		
			BETTENDORF, JUSTIN P		
ARLINGTON,	, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817		
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Apr	olication No.	Applicant(s)
		703,723	
' Office Action Summary			RAMESH, SRITHAR
		miner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this co		tin P. Bettendorf	2817 vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	appeare .	on the dover sheet v	rui tile correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of i - If the period for reply specified above is less tha - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MMUNICATION. brovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). It is communication. but thirty (30) days, a reply within a vimum statutory period will apply of or reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of th y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in co	ondition for allowance e	except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with th	e practice under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending	• •		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elect	tion requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 Feb</u>			
Applicant may not request that a			, '
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction			disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings			
12) The oath or declaration is object		er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non			
1. Certified copies of the p			
2. Certified copies of the p			
	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreing 15) Acknowledgment is made of a control of the foreing translation			
attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	ımmary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/703,723

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vince United States Patent No. 5,068,631.

The Vince reference discloses in figure 2 a circuit for removing noise (which inherently includes switching noise because it is a type of high frequency noise) (see col. 1, lines 15-20). The filter circuit includes a ferrite bead 109 with a bulk capacitor 107-1 connected to ground from the output of the ferrite bead 109.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vince.

As noted above, the Vince reference teaches removing noise using a ferrite bead and a capacitor but does not show: a) the capacitor is a tantalum "D case" (claims 2, 6, 10, & 14); b)

Application/Control Number: 09/703,723

Art Unit: 2817

ESR of 0.8 Ω (claims 3, 7, 11, and 15); c) ferrite bead resistance of 0.3 Ω (claims 4, 8, 12, & 16); nor d) voltage regulator (claims 5 and 10).

Nevertheless, as would have been well known, a "D case" capacitor provides low ESR which is advantageous in filtering unwanted high frequency signals to ground.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have substituted the well-known, low ESR D case tantalum bulk capacitor in place of the generic bulk capacitor in the filter circuit of Vince because such a modification would have advantageously increased the filter performance of the circuit.

Also, the value of the ESR would have been considered a mere optimization and, therefore, obvious.

With respect to the ferrite bead resistance, it would have been well known that conductive ferrite attenuates additional frequencies compared to non-conductive ferrite.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have used conductive ferrite in place of the generic ferrite bead because such a modification would have improved the filter response of the ferrite bead. Additionally, the value of the resistance of the ferrite bead would have been considered a mere optimization.

The Vince reference shows that 5 volts is the voltage of the power supply in figure 2 but does not explicitly teach that the voltage is regulated. Nevertheless, as would have been well known, power supplies conventionally require voltage regulators in order to function properly; therefore, use of a voltage regulator would have been considered obvious.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,723

Art Unit: 2817

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Pavlovic United States Patent No. 6,152,775 discloses that conductive ferrite attenuates additional frequencies compared to non-conductive ferrites (col. 3, lines 26-30).
- b. Ramakrishnan et al. United States Patent No. 5,812,384 discloses low ESR capacitors are advantageous for removing switching noise from a regulated power supply (abstract and col. 3, lines 38-40).
- c. Lloyd United States Patent No. 3,246,229 discloses in figure 1 a voltage regulator supply with a filter choke inductor 16 and capacitor 17 connected at the output.
- d. Norte et al. United States Patent No. 5,905,417 discloses an inductive filter formed by a conductive ferrite block.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin P. Bettendorf whose telephone number is (703) 308-2780. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30 (M-F, 1st Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert C. Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956,

Justin P. Bettendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

jpb

January 7, 2002